



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,963	04/24/2006	Brian K. Paul	2456714103	7177
24197	7590	01/29/2009	EXAMINER	
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			SAAD, ERIN BARRY	
121 SW SALMON STREET				
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND, OR 97204			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/576,963	Applicant(s) PAUL ET AL.
	Examiner ERIN B. SAAD	Art Unit 1793

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 April 2006.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1-65 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-16, 41-52, and 65, drawn to an apparatus.

Group II, claim(s) 17-40, 53-64, drawn to a method.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Callahan et al. (2005-0007748) discloses a thermal expansion clamping unit 10 with a base plate 20, a top plate 18, at least one engager 28 positioned between the base plate 20 and the top plate 18 and at least one spring 23 associated with the unit (figures 2 and 3). Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the two inventions do not provide contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists, therefore, the restriction is proper.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

If group I is elected, select one of the following:

Species IA: a thermal expansion clamping unit for bonding laminae together comprising a top plate (claims 1-16).

Species IB: a thermal expansion bonding unit for bonding laminae together to form a device comprising a cylinder mounting plate having plural expansion cylinders (claims 41-46)

Species IC: a thermal expansion bonding device for bonding laminae together comprising a frame having a baseplate, at least two upright arms and an open top (claims 47-48).

Species ID: a registration device for registering laminae comprising a flexible compliant feature (claims 49-52).

Species IE: a thermal expansion clamping unit for bonding laminae together comprising a fastener compressively retaining the load stage and the spring to the bottom of the plate (claim 65).

If group II is elected, select one of the following:

Species 2A: a method for bonding laminae together to form a device comprising providing a thermally assisted bonding device (claims 17-40).

Species 2B: a method for registering laminae comprising providing a registration device having a flexible compliant feature and a bonding fixture (claims 53-56).

Species 2C: a method for manufacturing a MECS device (claim 57).

Species 2D: a solder paste method for bonding laminae together to define a MECS device comprising microetching (claims 58-60).

Species 2E: a method for making a microlaminated device comprising bonding a first stack of laminae using a thermal expansion bonding unit and bonding a second stack using solder paste techniques (claims 61-64).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species 1A: claims 1-16
Species 1B: claims 41-46
Species 1C: claims 47-48
Species 1D: claims 49-52
Species 1E: claim 65

Species 2A: claims 17-40
Species 2B: claims 53-56
Species 2C: claim 57
Species 2D: claims 58-60
Species 2E: claims 61-64

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the reasons set for in above section 2 and in the above descriptions of claimed features within species 1A-1E and 2A-2E.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ERIN B. SAAD whose telephone number is (571)270-3634. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8am-5pm Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica Ward can be reached on (571) 272-1223. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/E. B. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 1793
1/26/2009

Application/Control Number: 10/576,963
Art Unit: 1793

Page 6

/Kiley Stoner/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793